

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

							. www.uspto.gov	-
APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE		FIRST NAM	IED INVENTOR		TATTORNEY DO	
10/649,608	10/649,608 08/28/2003		Yim Bun P. Kwan				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
909				r ini Buil F. Kwan		081468-0305337	5288	
	7570 06/04/2004						EXAMINER	
PO BOX 10	PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP P.O. BOX 10500						NGUYEN, HUNG	
MCLEAN, V								, nond
					:	***	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
							2851	*
				•			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1014
Office Astrono	10/649,608	KWAN, YIM BUN P.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung Henry V Nguyen	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communication	. ,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	August 2003.		
 	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		s, prosecution as to the merits is	,
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application			•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration,		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		<u> </u>	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appl	ication No. 09/739,098.	
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior 	rity documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)		*	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M	ail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/03 & 10/03.	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/649,608

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-26 of U. S. Patent No. 6,671,036 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: "a lithographic projection apparatus comprising: a radiation system, a first object table, a second object table, an imaging projection system, first and second balance masses, first and second motors". For example, claim 1 of the present application is merely a re-written version/or broader version of claim 1 of U.S.Patent 6,671,036.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Art Unit: 2851

Prior Art Made of Record

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Itoh et al (U.S.Pat. 6,504,160) and Matsui et al (U.S.Pat. 6,408,045) discloses stage systems, which are provided with counter-masses for improving of positioning precision, and have been cited for technical background.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Henry V Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2112. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hung Henry V Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851

hvn 5/26/04